

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,292	03/30/2004	Mark DiSilvestro		4534
28078	7590 12/13/2005	•	EXAMINER	
MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER 1111 MONUMENT CIRCLE			LA, ANH V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LIS, IN 46204		2636	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>X</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/813,292	DISILVESTRO ET A	۸L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh V. La	2636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addr	'9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this com  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific part of th	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Appority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04, 6/24/05.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Art Unit: 2636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender in view of Glen.

Regarding claim 1, Carrender discloses a medical implant component 12 comprising a component body 12, and an RFID tag 30 storing information related to the component, the RFID tag associated with the component body so that the stored information can be accessed by an independent reader 30 (paragraphs 21, 30, 32, 31, 33). Carrender does not disclose the medical implant component being an orthopedic component. Glen teaches the use of a medical implant component being an orthopedic component (figures 3-5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the medical implant component being an orthopedic component to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 2, Carrender discloses the RFID tag being embedded within the component body (fig. 3A, p. 8).

Regarding claims 3-7, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 2, but does not disclose the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body (claim 3), a cavity

Art Unit: 2636

and a cover (claim 4), biocompatible potting material (claim 5), a bone cement (claim 6), biocompatible metal (claim 7). Glen teaches an the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body (fig. 3-4), a cavity and a cover (fig. 3-4), biocompatible potting material (abstract, fig. 3-4), a bone cement (column 3, lines 50-65), biocompatible metal (col. 3, lines 50-65). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body, a cavity and a cover, biocompatible potting material, a bone cement, biocompatible metal to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 8, Carrender discloses a transmission receiver, an information storage element, and a control circuit (p. 21, 30,31-33).

Regarding claim 9, Carrender discloses a passive power supply (p. 26).

Regarding claim 10, Carrender discloses the storage element having read/write capabilities (p. 33).

Regarding claims 12-16, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature (claim 12), a recess (claim 13), a press-fit engagement (claim 14), a taper-fit engagement (claim 15), a slip-fit engagement (claim 16). Glen teaches a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature, a recess, a press-fit engagement, a taper-fit engagement, a slip-fit engagement (figures 3-4). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Art Unit: 2636

person having ordinary skill in the art to include a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature, a recess, a press-fit engagement, a taper-fit engagement, a slip-fit engagement to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 17, Carrender discloses a method for associating information related to a medical implant component with the component comprising the steps of storing information related to the component in an information storage device 36, engaging the storage device to the component, and remotely accessing the information stored in the information storage device (p. 21, 30-32). Carrender does not disclose the medical implant component being an orthopedic component. Glen teaches the use of a medical implant component being an orthopedic component (figures 3-5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the medical implant component being an orthopedic component to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 18, Carrender discloses remotely accessing occurring before the orthopedic component is implanted in a patient (p. 21, 30-32).

Regarding claim 19, Carrender discloses remotely accessing occurring after the orthopedic component is implanted in a patient (p. 21, 30-32).

Regarding claim 20, Carrender discloses product identification, part number, manufacturer, manufacture date and inspection information (p. 30).

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 21, Carrender discloses the stored information being stored in the storage device by the caregiver implanting the orthopedic component (p. 30).

Regarding claim 22, Carrender discloses patient identification, medical history, date of implant surgery, care giver information (p. 32).

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender in view of Glen as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Holtzman.

Regarding claim 11, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 10, but does not disclose an EEPROM. Holtzman discloses the use of an EEPROM (col. 3, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include EEPROM to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively storing information.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Adams and Mejia discloses wireless implanted medical devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V. La whose telephone number is (571) 272-2970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

Art Unit: 2636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANH V. LA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

Al November 21, 2005